

channel lead, one command channel lead and a ground/reference lead for connection to corresponding leads in a corresponding interface in said portable telephone.

16. The computer of Claim 15, wherein said at least one voice channel lead facilitates a bidirectional half duplex mode.

17. The computer of Claim 15, wherein said at least one command channel lead facilitates a bidirectional half duplex mode.

18. The computer of Claim 15, wherein said interface coupled to said microprocessor further includes a power lead.

19. The computer of Claim 15, wherein voice and data are transmitted on said at least one voice channel lead.

20. The computer of Claim 15, wherein said interface coupled to said microprocessor further includes at least one data channel lead.

21. The computer of Claim 20, wherein said at least one data channel lead facilitates a bidirectional half duplex mode.

22. The computer of Claim 15, wherein said interface coupled to said microprocessor further includes a second voice channel lead.

23. The computer of Claim 22, wherein each of said voice channel leads facilitates a unidirectional full duplex mode.

24. The computer of Claim 15, wherein said interface coupled to said microprocessor further includes a second command channel lead.

25. The computer of Claim 24, wherein each of said voice channel leads facilitates a unidirectional full duplex mode.

26. The computer of Claim 22, wherein said interface coupled to said microprocessor further includes a second command channel lead.

27. The computer of Claim 26, wherein each of said voice channel leads facilitates a unidirectional full duplex mode.

28. The computer of Claim 23, wherein voice and data are transmitted on said voice channel leads.

Please cancel rejected Claim 29.

REMARKS

The USPTO mailed a Notice of Abandonment to Applicants on January 10, 2003. Applicants submit separately herewith a Request to Withdraw Notice of Abandonment since Appellants did not receive a copy of the Office communication mailed on May 20, 2002, until February 3, 2003. Accordingly, this Amendment is timely.

Dependent Claim 15 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of independent Claim 1. Accordingly, Claim 15 stands allowable. Remaining Claims 16-28 depend directly, or indirectly, on allowable Claim 15.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "Version with markings to show changes made."